Reply of Government.—The distinction between authenticated and unauthenticated copies having been done away with by the copying rules recently issued, no separate application is necessary for authentication and there can really be no inconvenience of the nature complained of. The attention of the members is drawn to the new rules published by the Chief Court in Part II of the *Mysore Gazette* dated the 15th June 1911.

SUBJECT No. 59.

Grant of copies of judgments.

Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao, Nagar Sabha.

Summary of discussion.—The system of preparing copies of judgments and other documents on stamp papers as in the Madras Presidency may be introduced into the State as it does away with the anomalous difference between private and certified copies and the tedious process of counting words.

Reply of Government.—*Vide* reply to Subject No. 58 above. The present rule needs no modification.

SUBJECT No. 60.

Copies of judgments in criminal courts.

Messrs. K. Shankaranarayana Rao, Nagar Sabha; S. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Municipality, A. Ramanna, Yedatore taluk; Hutchviregowda, Gubbi taluk; V. Raghavachar, Mysore taluk; and D. Venkatramiah, Bangalore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—A fee of eight annas only may be levied as stamp fee in the case of judgments of criminal courts as in the case of judgment of civil courts. The present rule requiring the payment of stamp fee of eight annas for every 360 words for judgments of criminal courts is a great hardship.

Reply of Government.—Steps will be taken to introduce a bill to amend the Court Fees Regulation.

SUBJECT No. 61.

Court fee stamps for suits on inam lands not surveyed and settled.

Mr. M. K. Krishnamurti Rao, Magadi taluk.

Summary of discussion.—If suits are filed in respect of inam lands not surveyed and settled, mentioning approximately the extent of area of the lands, the rates of assessment on the dry and wet lands may be ordered to be fixed and the court fees calculated at five times the amount of assessment.

Reply of Government.—Not possible.

SUBJECT No. 62.

Orders on execution petitions to be in the handwriting of the Judge.

Mr. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—It has been held by the majority of the High Courts that sales held inconsistent with the order of the Judge regarding the time and place of sale are void. The practice now in vogue in our courts is that a memo regarding the time and place of sale is made by a clerk of the court and is simply initialled by the Judge. This procedure is open to objection as, owing to the influence of some of the parties concerned in some cases, the sales are not properly held and have to be set aside. It is therefore desirable to have such orders written by the Judge himself as the chances for malpractices will be fewer when the place of sale is decided by him personally.

Mr. Sitaramaiya cited an instance of the evil arising from the practice. The subject was dicussed at some

length and it was found that it is a very insignificant matter for Government to take action.

Reply of Government.—The present rule does not need to be altered.

SUBJECT No. 63.

Judicial deposits on behalf of minors.

Mr. Singri Nanjappa, Tumkur District Board.

Summary of discussion.—Amounts decreed in civil courts on behalf of minors are kept in judicial deposit and for some reason or other it so happens that it cannot be claimed for a long time. It is urged that in such cases the amounts may be transferred to the accounts of the State Savings Bank and interest allowed on the deposits for the benefit of the minors concerned.

Reply of Government.—Orders have been passed recently that if, in any case of judicial deposit belonging to minors, the court concerned desires that it should be deposited in the Government Savings Bank, the money may be received and the normal interest thereon allowed.

SUBJECT No. 64.

Copies of memoranda of appeal to be served with notices of appeal.

Mr. S. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Municipality.

Withdrawn.

SUBJECT No. 65.

Change of form of the moktyarnama.

Messrs. Amble Anniah Pandit and C. Narasimhaiya, District Board, Mysore, and Veene Rangaswami Iyengar, Seringapatam taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Lawyers are now required, when accepting a power of attorney, to certify that clients are personally known to them. As this system causes at times inconvenience both to lawyers and clients, the present certificate "personally known to me" may be replaced by "that he is the plaintiff or defendant (as the case may be)" or by some such equivalent.

Reply of Government.—The Chief Court will be consulted.

SUBJECT No. 66.

Printing of records.

Mr. V. Raghavachar, Mysore taluk.

Summary of discussion.—In appeals involving large considerations before the Chief Court, the existing rules require the records to be printed. This causes much delay and is a source of expense to the parties. It is requested that this rule be cancelled.

Reply of Government.—This is a matter within the discretion of the Chief Court.

SUBJECT No. 67.

Presentation of *interim* applications.

Mr. S. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Formerly every *interim* application in civil courts used to be given a separate number and there was no difficulty in picking it up whenever any reference thereto was required. Under the system lately introduced, numbering is not necessary for *interim* applications and much difficulty consequently arises in picking up the required references, when more than one *interim* application is received in one and the same case, because in each application, the date of the previous